

ON THE EXISTENCE OF CONSISTENT PRICE SYSTEMS

ERHAN BAYRAKTAR AND HASANJAN SAYIT

ABSTRACT. In this note, we present a different sufficient condition than the *conditional full support* condition (CFS) introduced by Guasoni, Rásonyi, and Schachermayer [Ann. Appl. Probab., 18(2008), pp. 491-520] for the existence of consistent price systems (CPSs). We analyze the stability of our condition under composition with continuous functions. In particular, we use this condition to show the existence of CPSs for certain processes that fail to have the CFS property.

1. INTRODUCTION

In markets with proportional transaction costs, consistent price systems (henceforth CPSs) replace martingale measures as an equivalent condition for the absence of arbitrage; see Theorem 1.11 of [5]. A strictly positive adapted stochastic process $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P)$ admits ϵ -CPS for $\epsilon > 0$ if there exists an equivalent measure $\tilde{P} \sim P$ and a $(\mathbb{F}, \tilde{P})$ martingale $\tilde{Y}_t$ such that

$$(1 + \epsilon)^{-1} Y_t \leq \tilde{Y}_t \leq (1 + \epsilon) Y_t \quad \text{a.s. for all } t \in [0, T].$$

The origin of this concept of CPSs is due to [7]. See [8] for further details.

A general result on the existence of CPSs was obtained in [4], where the conditional full support property of the asset process was shown to be sufficient for the existence of a CPS. Motivated by this result, recently, [2], [3], and [10] proved that certain processes have this property. In this paper, we give another condition which guarantees that the price process admits an ϵ -CPS for a given $\epsilon > 0$; see Theorem 1 in Section 2. We then describe a mechanism for generating processes with CPSs, by analyzing the stability of our condition under composition with continuous functions; see Theorem 2, Corollary 1, and Proposition 1 in Section 3. In this section we illustrate our results with examples. In particular, we give an example of a process which does not have the CFS property but admits a CPS. In Section 4, we show that our condition implies no-arbitrage with respect to the simple trading strategies that requires a minimal positive waiting time between any two consecutive trading times. In particular, in Theorem 3, we extend a result of [6] and show that

Key words and phrases. Consistent pricing systems, No-arbitrage, Transaction costs, Conditional full support, Stability under composition with continuous functions.

We would like to express our gratitude to Paolo Guasoni for his feedback and in motivating the idea of this paper. Our thanks also goes to Mikko Pakkanen for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this note.

E. Bayraktar is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under an applied mathematics research grant and a Career grant, DMS-0906257 and DMS-0955463, respectively, and in part by the Susan M. Smith Professorship.

the no-arbitrage condition with respect to these trading strategies is stable under composition with certain continuous functions. In Section 5, we provide a comparison between Theorem 1 and the main results of [9].

2. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE EXISTENCE OF A CPS

Consider a continuous price process of the form $Y_t = e^{X_t}$, where $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a real-valued continuous process adapted to the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P)$. We assume that $\mathcal{F}_0$ is trivial.

In [4], it was shown that if X satisfies the CFS condition:

$$\text{supp Law}(X_\theta; t \leq \theta \leq T | \mathcal{F}_t) = C_{X_t}[t, T] \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where $C_x[t, T]$ denotes the space of continuous real-valued functions on $[t, T]$ with $f(t) = x$ and “supp” denotes the support (the smallest closed set of probability one), then Y admits ϵ -CPS for all $\epsilon > 0$. In this section, we will introduce a different condition on X that guarantees the existence of CPS for Y .

We start our analysis by recalling the definition of random walk with retirement that was studied in [4]. Consider a discrete-time filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}, P)$ such that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is trivial and $\bigvee_n \mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 1. *A random walk with retirement is a process $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$, adapted to $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, of the form:*

$$X_n = X_0(1 + \epsilon)^{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\epsilon > 0, X_0 > 0$, and the process R_n is adapted and takes values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and satisfies

- (i) $P(R_m = 0, \text{ for all } m \geq n | R_n = 0) = 1 \text{ for } n \geq 0;$
- (ii) $P(R_n = j | \mathcal{G}_{n-1}) > 0 \text{ on } R_{n-1} \neq 0, \text{ for all } j \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } n \geq 1, \text{ where we set } \{R_0 \neq 0\} \equiv \Omega;$
- (iii) $P(R_n \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1) = 0.$

Lemma 2.6 of [4] shows that any random walk with retirement $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ admits an equivalent measure $Q \sim P$ that makes it a uniformly integrable martingale. This fact will be used in our analysis below.

To state our first main result, we first need to introduce some notation. For any $h \in (0, T), \delta > 0, c > 0$, and any stopping time τ with values in $[0, T - h)$, denote $L_t = X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau$ and let

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & F_X^0(\tau, h, \delta, c) = \{\sup_{t \in [0, T-\tau]} |L_t| < \delta\}, \\ (ii) \quad & F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, c) = \{\sup_{t \in [0, h]} L_t < \delta\} \cap \{\sup_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} L_t < -c\}, \\ (iii) \quad & F_X^{-1}(\tau, h, \delta, c) = \{\inf_{t \in [0, h]} L_t > -\delta\} \cap \{\inf_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} L_t > c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to state our first main result of this note.

Theorem 1. *If for any $h \in (0, T)$ and stopping time τ with values in $[0, T - h)$ the following holds*

$$(2) \quad P(F_X^j(\tau, h, \log(1 + \epsilon_0), \log(1 + \epsilon_0)) | \mathcal{F}_\tau) > 0 \text{ a.s., } j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$, then $Y_t = e^{X_t}$ admits ϵ -CPS in $[0, T]$, with $\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon_0)^3 - 1$.

Proof. As in [4] we construct a CPS for Y using a random walk with retirement associated with Y . We divide the proof into three steps:

First step: Define

$$(3) \quad \tau_0 = 0, \quad \tau_{n+1} = \inf\{t \geq \tau_n : (X_t - X_{\tau_n}) \notin (-\log(1 + \epsilon_0), \log(1 + \epsilon_0))\} \wedge T,$$

and

$$(4) \quad R_n = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(X_{\tau_n} - X_{\tau_{n-1}}), & \text{if } \tau_n < T; \\ 0, & \text{if } \tau_n = T; \end{cases}$$

and set

$$(5) \quad Z_0 = Y_0, \quad Z_n = Z_0(1 + \epsilon_0)^{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Note that $\{Z_n\}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0} \leq \frac{Y_{\tau_n}}{Z_n} \leq 1 + \epsilon_0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and it is adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, where $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$.

Second step: We will show that $\{Z_n\}$ is a random walk with retirement in the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}, P)$, where $\mathcal{G} = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$. To show this, we need to check the three conditions on R_n in Definition 1. Observe that *i*) is trivial and *iii*) follows from the continuity of the process. Therefore, we only need to check that

$$(6) \quad P(R_n = j | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n-1}}) > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \{R_{n-1} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{for } j \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

for all $n \geq 1$. This is equivalent to showing that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n-1}}$ with

$$A \subset \{R_{n-1} \neq 0\} = \{\tau_{n-1} < T\},$$

and $P(A) > 0$,

$$P(A \cap \{R_n = j\}) > 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Let $s < T$ be such that $P(A \cap \{\tau_{n-1} < s\}) > 0$. Let $B = A \cap \{\tau_{n-1} < s\}$ and $h = \frac{T-s}{4}$. Denote

$$\tau_{n-1}^B = \tau_{n-1} 1_B + \frac{T+s}{2} 1_{\Omega \setminus B}.$$

Note that τ_{n-1}^B is a stopping time and its values are in $[0, T - h) = [0, \frac{T+s}{2} + \frac{T-s}{4})$. By the assumption of the theorem, we have

$$P\left(F_X^j(\tau_{n-1}^B, h, \log(1 + \epsilon_0), \log(1 + \epsilon_0)) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n-1}^B}\right) > 0 \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

for any $j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Note that $B \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{n-1}^B}$ with $P(B) > 0$, and therefore, the events

$$B \cap F_X^j(\tau_{n-1}^B, h, \log(1 + \epsilon_0), \log(1 + \epsilon_0)), \quad z \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

have positive probability, which, in turn, implies $P(\{R_n = j\} \cap B) > 0$ for any $j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since $B \subset A$, the result follows.

Third step: Since $\{Z_n\}$ is a random walk with retirement, thanks to Lemma 2.6 of [4], there exists an equivalent probability measure $Q \sim P$ such that $(Z_n, \mathcal{G}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale. Let $Z_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, set $\tilde{Z}_t = E_Q[Z_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t]$. Observe that $\tilde{Z}_{\tau_n} = E_Q[Z_\infty | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}] = Z_n$, and that $\tilde{Z}_t = E_Q[\tilde{Z}_{\tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ on the set $\{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus the following holds

$$(7) \quad \frac{\tilde{Z}_t}{Y_t} 1_{\{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\}} = E_Q \left[\frac{Z_n}{Y_t} 1_{\{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad n \geq 1.$$

We write $\frac{Z_n}{Y_t} = \frac{Z_n}{Y_{\tau_n}} \frac{Y_{\tau_{n-1}}}{Y_t} \frac{Y_{\tau_n}}{Y_{\tau_{n-1}}}$. Note that each of $\frac{Z_n}{Y_{\tau_n}}$, $\frac{Y_{\tau_{n-1}}}{Y_t}$, and $\frac{Y_{\tau_n}}{Y_{\tau_{n-1}}}$ takes values in $((1 + \epsilon_0)^{-1}, 1 + \epsilon_0)$ on the set $\{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\}$. Therefore, from (7), we have

$$(1 + \epsilon_0)^{-3} \leq \frac{\tilde{Z}_t}{Y_t} \leq (1 + \epsilon_0)^3 \quad \text{on } \{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\}.$$

Since $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \{\tau_{n-1} \leq t \leq \tau_n\} = \Omega$, we conclude that

$$(8) \quad (1 + \epsilon_0)^{-3} \leq \frac{\tilde{Z}_t}{Y_t} \leq (1 + \epsilon_0)^3.$$

Therefore $\tilde{Z}_t$ is an ϵ -CPS for Y_t , with $\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon_0)^3 - 1$. $\square$

Remark 1. If X_t is adapted to a sub-filtration $\mathbb{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ and (2) holds with respect to $\mathbb{F}$ for $\epsilon_0 > 0$, then it also holds with respect to the smaller filtration $\mathbb{G}$ for ϵ_0 .

3. A MECHANISM FOR CONSTRUCTING MODELS WITH CPSs

In this section, we study the existence of CPSs for models of the form $e^{f(X_t)}$, $t \in [0, T]$, where f is a deterministic continuous function and X is a process with the CFS property. For the convenience of our the proofs, we single out the following condition (A) on X . Its clear that all the processes with CFS satisfy this condition.

(A) $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is continuous, adapted, and for any real number $h \in (0, T)$ and any stopping time τ with values in $[0, T - h)$,

$$(9) \quad P(F_X^j(\tau, h, \delta, c) | \mathcal{F}_\tau) > 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad j \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

for all $\delta > 0$, $c > 0$.

The next result is the second main result in this note.

Theorem 2. Assume that X satisfies (A). Let $\delta_0 > 0$ and f be a continuous deterministic function that satisfies either of the following:

- (a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = -\infty$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$, and $\min_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) > -\delta_0$
- (b) $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = +\infty$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = -\infty$, and $\max_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) < \delta_0$.

Then

$$(10) \quad P\left(F_{f(X)}^j(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0) | \mathcal{F}_\tau\right) > 0, \quad j \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

for any $h \in (0, T)$, any $\mathbb{F}$ stopping time τ with values in $[0, T - h)$, and any $c_0 > 0$.

Proof. We will show the result for continuous functions f that satisfy condition (a). The proof for the functions that satisfy condition (b) follows similarly.

Let $h \in (0, T)$ and τ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping time with values in $[0, T - h)$. In order to prove (10), we need to show that $P(A \cap F_{f(X)}^j(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$. Fix any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$. Let $k > 0$ be such that the event

$$B = A \cap \{-k < X_\tau < k\} \cap \{-k < f(X_\tau) < k\}$$

has positive probability. Note that $B \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$. Since f is uniformly continuous on $[-k - 1, k + 1]$, there exists $\delta \in [0, 1]$ such that $|f(y) - f(x)| < \delta_0$, whenever $x, y \in [-k - 1, k + 1]$ and $|x - y| < \delta$.

(i) Proof that $P(A \cap F_{f(X)}^0(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$: Note that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T - \tau)} |f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau)| < \delta_0 \quad \text{on } B \cap F_X^0(\tau, h, \delta, c),$$

for any $c > 0$, and by our assumption, we have that $P(B \cap F_X^0(\tau, h, \delta, c)) > 0$. Therefore, $P(B \cap F_{f(X)}^0(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$, which implies $P(A \cap F_{f(X)}^0(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$.

(ii) Proof that $P(A \cap F_{f(X)}^1(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$: Let $\tilde{c} > 0$ be such that $f(x) < -c_0 - k$ for all $x < -\tilde{c}$. By our assumption on X , we have that $P(F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k) | \mathcal{F}_\tau) > 0$ a.s. Therefore, $P(B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k)) > 0$. Observe that on $B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k)$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, h]} (X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad X_\tau \in (-k, k).$$

Therefore, if $X_{\tau+t} \geq X_\tau$, then $0 \leq X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau \leq \delta \in [0, 1]$, which implies that

$$X_\tau, X_{\tau+t} \in [-k - 1, k + 1].$$

As a result, $f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau) < \delta_0$. If, on the other hand, $X_{\tau+t} \leq X_\tau$, then since $\sup_{y \geq x} (f(x) - f(y)) < \delta_0$, we have $f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau) < \delta_0$. Therefore, on $B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k)$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, h]} (f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau)) < \delta_0.$$

Moreover, on $B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k)$, we have that

$$\sup_{t \in [h, T - \tau)} (X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau) < -\tilde{c} - k \quad \text{and} \quad X_\tau \in (-k, k).$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{t \in [h, T - \tau)} X_{\tau+t} < -\tilde{c},$$

which in turn implies that

$$\sup_{t \in [h, T - \tau)} f(X_{\tau+t}) < -c_0 - k \quad \text{on } B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k).$$

Now, since $f(X_\tau) \in (-k, k)$ on $B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k)$, it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} (f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau)) < -c_0 \quad \text{on } B \cap F_X^1(\tau, h, \delta, \tilde{c} + k).$$

We conclude that $P(B \cap F_{f(X)}^+(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$ from which the result follows since $B \subset A$.

(iii) Proof that $P(A \cap F_{f(X)}^{-1}(\tau, h, \delta_0, c_0)) > 0$: The proof is similar to part (ii). $\square$

The following corollary immediately follows from the above theorem.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a continuous process that satisfies the condition (A) with respect to $\mathbb{F}$. Assume that f is a continuous function that either satisfies the first two conditions of (a) in Theorem 2 and is non-decreasing or it satisfies the first two conditions of (b) in the same theorem and is non-increasing. Then, $f(X_t)$ also satisfies (A), and therefore $Y_t = e^{f(X_t)}$ admits ϵ -CPS for any $\epsilon > 0$ with respect to $\mathbb{F}$ and with respect to the natural filtration of $f(X)$.*

Proof. Assume f is non-decreasing and satisfies the first two conditions of (a) in Theorem 2. Then it also satisfies the third condition of (a) for any $\delta_0 > 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 2, (10) holds for any $\delta_0 > 0, c_0 > 0$. This shows that $f(X_t)$ satisfies (A). From Theorem 1 and Remark 1, we conclude that Y_t admits ϵ -CPS for any $\epsilon > 0$ with respect to $\mathbb{F}$ and also with respect to the natural filtration of $f(X)$. The proof for the case of non-increasing function follows similarly. $\square$

Example 1. *Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing deterministic continuous function satisfying $h(-\infty) = -\infty$ and $h(+\infty) = +\infty$. Then the process $e^{h(B_t^H)}$ admits ϵ -CPS for any $\epsilon > 0$.*

The next proposition generalizes Corollary 1. Its proof directly follows from Theorem 2.

Proposition 1. *Let X_t satisfy (A). If f is a continuous function that satisfies the first two conditions in either (a) or (b) in Theorem 2, then for any $\delta_0 > 0$ we can find a small enough $\alpha > 0$ such that $g(x) = \alpha f(x)$ satisfies*

$$(11) \quad P\left(F_{g(X)}^j(\tau, h, \delta_0, H) | \mathcal{F}_\tau\right) > 0, \quad j \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

for any $h \in (0, T)$, any $\mathbb{F}$ stopping time τ with values in $[0, T - h)$, and any $H > 0$. In particular,

- (a) *If f satisfies the first two conditions in (a) of Theorem 2 and $d := \min_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) < 0$, we can let α to be any number in $\left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{|d|}\right)$.*
- (b) *If f satisfies the first two conditions in (b) of Theorem 2 and $d_0 = \max_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) > 0$, we can let α to be any number in $\left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{d_0}\right)$.*

Example 2. *Consider the process*

$$Y_t = \exp \left[[(B_t^H)^3 + (B_t^H)^2] \right],$$

where B_t^H is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter H . The function $f(x) = x^3 + x^2$ satisfies the first two conditions in (a) of Theorem 2. Also,

$$d = \min_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) = -\frac{12}{27}.$$

Therefore, for any $\delta_0 > 0$ the processes Y_t^α admits an $(e^{3\delta_0} - 1)$ -CPS with respect to the filtration of B_t^H and also with respect to its natural filtration if $\alpha \in [0, \frac{27}{12}\delta_0)$.

Example 3. In this example, using Proposition 1, we construct a process that does not have the CFS property but admits a CPS. First, let us recall an implication of the CFS property: If X has a CFS, then

$$(12) \quad P \left(A \cap \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T-\tau]} |X_{\tau+t} - (X_\tau + f(t))| < \epsilon \right\} \right) > 0,$$

for any $[0, T]$ valued stopping time τ , and any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$, and any $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in \tilde{C}[0, T]$.

Now, let B be a standard Brownian motion. For $\alpha > 0$, consider $S^{(\alpha)} = \alpha f(B_t)$, in which

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x|, & x \geq -1; \\ x + 2, & x < -1. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove that $S_t^{(\alpha)}$ does not have the CFS property in $C[0, 1]$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Let

$$\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : |B_t| = 1\} \wedge 1.$$

On the set $\{\tau = 1\}$ the paths of the process $f(B_t)$ are non-negative, on the other hand on $\{\tau < 1\}$ we have that $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} f(B_t) \geq 1$. Therefore, if we let $g(t) = -t$, then we have

$$P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |S_t^{(\alpha)} - S_0^{(\alpha)} - g(t)| \geq \alpha \right) = 1.$$

Thus, $S_t^{(\alpha)}$ does not have the CFS property in $C[0, 1]$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

On the other hand,

$$d = \inf_{y \geq x} (f(y) - f(x)) = -1.$$

For any $\delta_0 > 0$ the process $e^{\alpha f(B_t)}$ admits a $(e^{3\delta_0} - 1)$ -CPS with respect to the natural filtrations of B and $f(B)$, for all $\alpha \in (0, \delta_0)$, thanks to Proposition 1 (and to the fact that B satisfies (A)).

4. RELEVANCE TO MARKETS WITHOUT TRANSACTION COSTS

In [6], it was shown that if the price process X satisfies

$$(13) \quad P(F_X^1(\tau, h, \infty, c) | \mathcal{F}_\tau) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad P(F_X^{-1}(\tau, h, \infty, c) | \mathcal{F}_\tau) > 0 \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for any positive constants h, C and any stopping time τ , then there is no-arbitrage with respect to the class of simple trading strategies introduced by [1], which are restricted to have a minimal amount of time (which can be arbitrarily small) between two transactions. Thanks to the fact that

$$F_X^j(\tau, h, \delta, c) \subset F_X^j(\tau, h, \infty, c), \quad j \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

for any $\delta > 0$, we see that if X satisfies condition (A) then it satisfies (13).

4.1. Invariance of (13) under composition with continuous functions.

Remark 2. In Corollary 1, we have seen that Condition (A) is closed under composition with continuous functions that are monotone. This type of closedness may not hold in general. For example, if we let f be as in Example 3, it can be easily checked that

$$P\left(F_{f(B_t)}^1\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)\right) = 0.$$

In contrast, (13) is more robust under composition with continuous functions. The following result extends Theorem 2 of [6], where f was taken to be a strictly monotonous function.

The following is the third main result of our note.

Theorem 3. Condition (13) remains unchanged under composition with any continuous function f that satisfies the first two conditions in either (a) or (b) of Theorem 2.

Proof. We will only prove the result for the case when $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$. The result for $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = +\infty$ can be similarly carried out.

Let X be a stochastic process that satisfies (13). We will show that $f(X)$ also satisfies the condition(13). Let $0 < h < T$ and τ be a bounded stopping time. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$, we need to show that the following two inequalities are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(A \cap \left\{\inf_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} (f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau)) > C\right\}\right) &> 0, \\ P\left(A \cap \left\{\sup_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} (f(X_{\tau+t}) - f(X_\tau)) < -C\right\}\right) &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $C > 0$.

Fix $C > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$. Let $L > 0$ be such that $P(A \cap \{-L < X_\tau < L\}) > 0$. Let $B = A \cap \{-L \leq X_\tau \leq L\}$ and let $K = \max_{x \in [-L, L]} |f(x)|$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = -\infty$, we can find two constants $D_1 > 0$ and $D_2 > 0$ such that for any $x > D_1$ we have $f(x) > K + C$, and for any $x < -D_2$ we have $f(x) < -(K + C)$. Let $D = \max\{D_1, D_2\}$. Then the result follows from the facts that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(B \cap \left\{\inf_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} (X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau) > D + L\right\}\right) &> 0, \\ P\left(B \cap \left\{\sup_{t \in [h, T-\tau]} (X_{\tau+t} - X_\tau) < -(D + L)\right\}\right) &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and that $B \subset A$. □

Example 4. The process Y_t in Example 2 satisfies the no-arbitrage property within the class of simple strategies that requires a minimal positive waiting time between any two consecutive trading dates. This is because B_t^H satisfies (13) and f is a deterministic function that satisfies the first two conditions in (a) of Theorem 3.

5. COMPARISON WITH THE MAIN RESULT OF [9]

(a) The condition “ $0 \in \text{ri conv} A_{\tau, \sigma}$ on $\tau < 1$ ” (labeled as H_1) in Theorem 1 of [9] implies that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ with $P(A) > 0$, if $P(A \cap \{Y_\sigma - Y_\tau > 0\}) > 0$ then $P(A \cap \{Y_\sigma - Y_\tau < 0\}) > 0$ also. Comparing this with Lemma 5 of [6], it follows that H_1 requires Y to satisfy the no arbitrage property within the class of simple strategies.

Therefore, Theorem 1 of [9] can not be applied in Example 3. Note that, the process in this example admits arbitrage under the buy and hold strategy $1_{(0, \tau]}$, where τ is the stopping time defined in the same example. However, as demonstrated in the same example, our sufficient condition shows that this process admits CPS for certain ϵ .

(b) The conditions in Theorem 1 require that the process moves up and down sufficiently only after a fixed deterministic waiting time “ h ”. [This can be thought of as the relaxation of condition H_2 in [9].] This waiting time plays an important role in our condition. It relates the existence of CPS to the no arbitrage property within the class of simple trading strategies with deterministic waiting time between any two consecutive trading dates; see Sections 4 and 4.1.

(c) Theorem 1 provides a sufficient condition for the existence of CPS for each fixed ϵ . This can be very useful in cases that the price process do not admit CPS for all $\epsilon > 0$ but may admit CPS for some ϵ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Patrick Cheridito. Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models. *Finance Stoch.*, 7(4):533–553, 2003.
- [2] Alexander Cherny. Brownian moving averages have conditional full support. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 18(5):1825–1830, 2008.
- [3] Dario Gasbarra, Tommi Sottinen, and Harry van Zanten. Conditional full support of Gaussian processes with stationary increments. *Preprint*, 2008. Available at <http://lipas.uwasa.fi/~tsottinen/research.html>.
- [4] Paolo Guasoni, Miklós Rásonyi, and Walter Schachermayer. Consistent price systems and face-lifting pricing under transaction costs. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 18(2):491–520, 2008.
- [5] Paolo Guasoni, Miklós Rásonyi, and Walter Schachermayer. The fundamental theorem of asset pricing for continuous processes under small transaction costs. *Annals of Finance*, 6:157–191.
- [6] Robert A. Jarrow, Philip Protter, and Hasanjan Sayit. No arbitrage without semimartingales. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 19(2):596–616, 2009.
- [7] Yuri Kabanov. Hedging and liquidation under transaction costs in currency markets. *Finance and Stochastics*, 3:237–248.
- [8] Yuri Kabanov and Mher Safarian. *Markets with transaction costs*. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Mathematical theory.

- [9] Yuri Kabanov and Christophe Stricker. On martingale selectors of cone-valued processes. In *Séminaire de probabilités XLI*, volume 1934 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 439–442. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [10] Mikko Pakkanen. Stochastic integrals and conditional full support. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 47 (3):650–667, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

E-mail address: `erhan@umich.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

E-mail address: `hs7@WPI.EDU`